



HAROLD F. HYNES

615 S uth F urth
Atwood, Kansas 67730

Tel 785-626-3100

Email- halnor@ruraltel.Net

Applicant Harold F. Hynes
Appl. No. 09/945,467
Filed 9/04/2001
Title One Page Purchasing System

Art Unit 3626
Examiner Pass, Natalie
LIE Examiner Lewis, L.

Confirmation No. 3259

Honorable Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED

NOV 08 2002

GROUP 3600

AMENDMENT

Sir:

In response to the Office Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment 37 CFR 1.121, Item 4, dated October 9, 2002, from L. Lewis, please amend the above identified application dated 9/04/2001, as follows:

Reference to amendment filed September 1, 02, in your notice, should be corrected. In responding to MS. Natalie Pass, Examiner, for rejections, on September 1, 2002, I included a revision of claims intended to be a draft of proposed changes in claims, for discussion with her as to the conformity with requirements. Instead, they reached L. Lewis as amendments. I failed to properly clarify my intentions. Since receiving your notice, I have further refined these claims, as attached, and the September 1, 2002 claims should be ignored. - thanks.

In the claims:

Please cancel claims 9, 13, and 16

Please amend and/or replace original claims 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,10,11,12,14, and 15, as shown in the attached 14 claims rewritten.

claim 15 (N/E)

3626



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES I

RECEIVED

NOV 08 2002

GROUP 3600

Pap

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.

The amendment filed on Sept. 1, 2002 is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121, as amended on September 8, 2000 (see 65 Fed. Reg. 54603, Sept. 8, 2000). In order for the amendment to be compliant, applicant must supply the following on in response to this notice.

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS ARE REQUIRED FOR COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 1.121 (APPLICANT MUST SUBMIT THE ENTIRE AMENDMENT):

- ☐ 1. A clean version of the replacement paragraph(s)/section(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(b)
- ☐ 2. A marked-up version of the replacement paragraph(s)/section(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.
- ☐ 3. A clean version of the amended claim(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(i).
- ☒ 4. A marked-up version of the amended claim(s) is required. See 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1)(ii).

Explanation: _____

(LIE: Please provide specific details for correction to assist the applicant. For example, "the clean version of claim 6 is missing.")

For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the URL <http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/olia/pbg/sampleaf.pdf>. A condensed version of a sample format is attached.

☐ **PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT:** Unless applicant supplies the omission or correction in compliance with revised 37 CFR 1.121 noted above within ONE MONTH of the mailing of this letter, examination on the merits may commence without entry of the originally proposed preliminary amendment. This notice is not an action under 35 U.S.C. 132, and this ONE MONTH time limit is not extended.

☒ **AMENDMENT AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION:** Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be a non-compliant amendment, applicant is given a TIME PERIOD of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing of this notice, within which to supply the omission or correction noted above in order to avoid a final action. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

S. Lewis
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE)

HAROLD F. HYNES

615 South Fourth
Atwood, Kansas 67730

Tel 785-626-3100

Email- halnor@ruraltel.Net

October 28, 2002

To:
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D. C. 20231

Attention: Natalie A. Pass, Examiner
Re: Patent Application 09/945/467
Title: One Page Purchasing System

Dear Ms. Pass:

Thank you for moving my application along to the Legal Instruments Examiner, L. Lewis.

I'm enclosing a copy of my response to their request for the amended claims identification.


You will note that I made revisions to my set of draft claims sent you on September 1, 2002. I'm sorry about the mixup on my intentions, which I should have explained.

Also enclosed is a copy of the September 1, 2002 claims sent you, which I've marked up for your convenience if you wish to examine the changes made.

Please let me know if I can do anything else to further expedite my application.

Again, thanks for your continued support and assistance.

Best Regards,



Harold F. Hynes